

AD-A118 344

ILLINOIS UNIV AT CHICAGO CIRCLE
ON SEGMENTATION OF TIME SERIES AND IMAGES IN THE SIGNAL DETECTI--ETC(U)
AUG 82 S L SCLOVE
N00014-80-C-0408

F/G 12/1

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-82-4

NL

1-1
8544



END
DATE
FILMED
9-82
DTIC

12

ON SEGMENTATION OF TIME SERIES AND IMAGES
IN THE SIGNAL DETECTION AND REMOTE SENSING CONTEXTS

A Presentation to the
Workshop on Signal Processing in the Ocean Environment
U.S. Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD, May 11-14, 1982

by

STANLEY L. SCLOVE

Departments of Mathematics and Quantitative Methods
University of Illinois at Chicago Circle

Technical Report No. 82-4
August 1, 1982

PREPARED FOR THE
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
UNDER
CONTRACT N00014-80-C-0408,
TASK NR042-443
with the University of Illinois at Chicago Circle

Development of Procedures and Algorithms for
Pattern Recognition and Image Processing
based on Two-Dimensional Markov Models

Principal Investigator: Stanley L. Sclove

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted
for any purpose of the United States Government.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

QUANTITATIVE METHODS DEPARTMENT
COLLEGE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO CIRCLE
BOX 4348, CHICAGO, IL 60680

OPTIC
SELECTE
AUG 18 1982
E

8/10/82

82 08 18 036

AD A118344

DTIC FILE COPY

ON SEGMENTATION OF TIME SERIES AND IMAGES
IN THE SIGNAL DETECTION AND REMOTE SENSING CONTEXTS

STANLEY L. SCLOVE

Departments of Mathematics and Quantitative Methods
University of Illinois at Chicago Circle

CONTENTS

Abstract

1. Introduction
2. Segmentation of Time Series
 - 2.1. The Model
 - 2.2. An Algorithm
 - 2.2.1. Development of the algorithm
 - 2.2.2. The first iteration
 - 2.2.3. Restrictions on the transitions
 - 2.3. An Example
 - 2.3.1. Fitting the model
 - 2.3.2. Choice of number of classes
3. A Model and Method for Segmentation of Digital Images

Acknowledgements

References

Tables



Accession For	
NTIS CPA&I	<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist	Special
A	

ON SEGMENTATION OF TIME SERIES AND IMAGES
IN THE SIGNAL DETECTION AND REMOTE SENSING CONTEXTS

STANLEY L. SCLOVE

Departments of Mathematics and Quantitative Methods
University of Illinois at Chicago Circle

Address:

Quantitative Methods Department
College of Business Administration
University of Illinois at Chicago Circle
Box 4348, Chicago, IL 60680

ABSTRACT

✓
The problem of partitioning a time-series into segments is considered. The segments fall into classes, which may correspond to phases of a cycle (recession, recovery, expansion in the business cycle) or to portions of a signal obtained by scanning (background/ clutter, target, background/clutter again, another target, etc.), or normal tissue, tumor, normal tissue in medical applications. A probability distribution is associated with each class of segment. Parametric families of distributions are considered, a set of parameter values being associated with each class. With each observation is associated an unobservable label, indicating from which class the observation arose. The label process is modeled as a Markov chain. Segmentation algorithms are obtained by applying a method of iterated maximum likelihood to the resulting likelihood function. In this paper special attention is given to the situation in which the observations are conditionally independent, given the labels. A numerical example is given. Choice of the number of classes, using Akaike's information criterion (AIC) for model identification, is illustrated.)

Similar ideas are applied to the problem of segmenting digital images, where possible applications include SEASAT (and LANDSAT) multi-spectral images. A numerical example is given.

91

ON SEGMENTATION OF TIME SERIES AND IMAGES
IN THE SIGNAL DETECTION AND REMOTE SENSING CONTEXTS

A Presentation to the
Workshop on Signal Processing in the Ocean Environment
U.S. Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD, May 11-14, 1982

by

STANLEY L. SCLOVE

Departments of Mathematics and Quantitative Methods
University of Illinois at Chicago Circle

1. Introduction

Problems of segmenting time series or digital images are considered. In both cases the observations fall into classes, and this assignment of observations to classes, or "labeling," is unknown. Thus each point gives rise to a pair, the observation itself, together with its unknown label. In the context of this model, segmentation is estimation of the labels. In time series the points are time points; in digital images, the points are points of the image (picture elements, or pixels). An image is two-dimensional, while time is one-dimensional, so time series are treated first.

2. Segmentation of Time Series

The problem of segmentation considered here is: Given a time series

$$\{x_t, t=1,2,\dots,n\},$$

partition the set of values of t into sub-series (segments, regimes) for which the values x_t are relatively homogeneous. The segments are assumed to fall into several classes. In cyclic processes the classes are phases of the cycle.

Examples. (i) Segment a received signal into background, target, background again, another target, etc. (ii) Segment an EEG of a sleeping

person into periods of deep sleep and restless or fitful sleep (two classes of segment). (iii) Segment an ECG into rhythmic and arrhythmic periods (two classes of segment). (iv) Segment an economic time series into periods of recession, recovery, and expansion. Here there are three classes of segment.

In some applications the observation X is a vector of several measurements. E.g., for blood pressure, X is a vector of the two measurements, systolic and diastolic. The discussion of segmentation of digital images, later in the paper, will be in terms of vector measurements. Time series will be discussed in terms of scalar (single) measurements, although the ideas and methods readily generalize to multiple time series.

2.1. The Model

One can imagine a series which is usually relatively smooth but occasionally rather jumpy as being composed of sub-series which are first-order autoregressive, the autocorrelation coefficient being positive for the smooth segments and negative for the jumpy ones. One might try fitting such data with a segmentation of two classes, one corresponding to a positive autocorrelation, the other, to a negative autocorrelation.

The mechanism generating the process changes from time to time, and these changes manifest themselves at some unknown time points (epochs, or change-points)

$$t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-1};$$

i.e., the number of segments is m . The integer m and the epochs are unknown. Generally there will be fewer than m generating mechanisms. The number of mechanisms (classes) will be denoted by k ; it will be assumed that k is at most m . In some situations, k is specified; in others, it is not. With the c -th class is associated a stochastic process, P_c , say. E.g., above we spoke of a situation with $k=2$ classes, where, for $c=1,2$, the process P_c is first-order autoregressive with coefficient, say, ϕ_c .

Now with the t -th observation ($t=1,2,\dots,n$) associate the label γ_t , which is equal to c if and only if x_t arose from class c , $c = 1,2,\dots,k$. Each time-point t gives rise to a pair

$$(x_t, \gamma_t),$$

where x_t is observable and γ_t is not. The process $\{x_t\}$ is the observed time series; $\{\gamma_t\}$ will be called the label process.

Define a segmentation, then, as a partition of the time index set $\{t: t = 1,2,\dots,n\}$ into subsets

$$S_1 = \{1,2,\dots,t_1\}, S_2 = \{t_1+1,\dots,t_2\}, \dots, S_m = \{t_{m-1}+1,\dots,n\},$$

where the t 's are subscripted in ascending order. Each subset S_g , $g = 1,2,\dots,m$, is a segment. The integer m is not specified. In the context of this model, to segment the series is merely to estimate the γ 's.

The idea underlying the development here is that of transitions between classes. The labels γ_t will be treated as random variables

γ_t with transition probabilities

$$\Pr(\gamma_t=d|\gamma_{t-1}=c) = p_{cd},$$

taken as stationary, i.e., independent of t . The k -by- k matrix of transition probabilities will be denoted by \underline{P} , i.e.,

$$\underline{P} = [p_{cd}].$$

If a process is strictly cyclic, like intake, compression, combustion, intake, etc., for a combustion engine, or recession to recovery to expansion to recession, etc., in the business cycle, then this condition can be imposed by using a transition probability matrix with zeros in the appropriate places. We shall consider a matrix like this in Section 2.3.2.

Segmentation will involve the simultaneous estimation of several sets of parameters. the distributional parameters of the within-class stochastic

processes, the transition probabilities, and the labels.

A joint probability density function (p.d.f.) for $\{(X_t, \Gamma_t), t=1,2,\dots,n\}$ is obtained by successively conditioning each variable on all the preceding ones. The working assumptions behind the method of this paper are as follows.

- (A.1) The labels are a first-order stationary Markov chain, independent of the observations; i.e., the probability of being in state d at time $t+1$ given state c at time t , is p_{cd} , which does not involve t or the values of the observations.
- (A.2) The distribution of the random variable X_t depends only on its own label and previous X 's, not previous labels.

Further details and a mathematical formulation corresponding to these assumptions are given in Sclove (1981).

In regard to (A.2), in the simplest case the X 's are (conditionally) independent, given the labels. That is, the distribution of X_t depends only on its label, and not previous X 's. In the examples in the present paper this assumption is made. In this case the p.d.f.'s $f(x|\gamma_t=c)$, $c = 1,2,\dots,k$, are called class-conditional densities. In the parametric case they take the form

$$f(x_t|\gamma_t=c) = g(x_t;\beta_c), \quad (1)$$

where β is a parameter indexing a family of p.d.f.'s of form given by the function g . E.g., in the case of Gaussian class-conditional distributions, β_c consists of the mean and variance for the c -th class.

This model, with transition probabilities, has certain advantages over a model formulated in terms of the epochs. The epochs behave as discrete parameters, and, even if the corresponding generalized likelihood ratio were asymptotically chi-square, the number of degrees of freedom would not be clear. On the other hand, the transition probabilities vary in an interval and it is clear that they constitute a set of $k(k-1)$ free parameters.

2.2. An algorithm

2.2.1. Development of the algorithm

It follows from the assumptions that the likelihood L , i.e., the joint p.d.f, considered as a function of the parameters, can be written in the form

$$L = A(\{p_{cd}\}, \{\gamma_t\}) B(\{\gamma_t\}, \{\beta_c\}). \quad (2)$$

Hence, for fixed values of the γ 's and β 's, L is maximized with respect to the p 's by maximizing the factor A . Now let n_{cd} be the number of transitions from class c to d . (These n 's are functions of the labels.) The factor A is merely the point multinomial probability function, the parameters being the n 's and p 's. It follows that the maximum likelihood estimates of the p 's, for fixed values of the other parameters, are given by

$$p_{cd} = n_{cd} / n_c, \quad (3)$$

where

$$n_c = n_{c1} + n_{c2} + \dots + n_{ck}.$$

Further, given the p 's and γ 's, the estimates of the distributional parameters--the β 's--are easy to obtain. This suggests the following algorithm.

Step 0. Set the β 's at initial trial values, suggested, e.g., by previous knowledge of the phenomenon under study. Set the p 's at initial trial values, e.g., $1/k$. Set $f(\gamma_1)$ at initial trial values, e.g., $f(\gamma_1) = 1/k$, for $\gamma_1 = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Step 1. Estimate γ_1 by maximizing $f(\gamma_1)f(x_1|\gamma_1)$.

Step 2. For $t=2, 3, \dots, n$, estimate γ_t by maximizing

$$p_{\gamma_{t-1}\gamma_t} f(x_t|\gamma_t, x_{t-1}, \dots, x_1),$$

as, under (A.1) and (A.2), the likelihood can be expressed as a product of such factors.

Step 3. Now, having labeled the observations, estimate the distributional parameters, and estimate the transition probabilities according to (3).

Step 4. If no observation has changed labels from the previous iteration, stop. Otherwise, repeat the procedure from Step 1.

Step 2 is Bayesian classification of x_t . Suppose the $(t-1)$ -st observation was tentatively classified into class c . Then the prior probability that the t -th observation belongs to class d is p_{cd} , $d = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Hence all the techniques for classification in particular models are available (e.g., use of linear discriminant functions when the observations are multivariate normal with common covariance matrix).

2.2.2. The first iteration

When the k class-conditional processes consist of independent, identically distributed normally distributed random variables with common variance, one can start by choosing initial means and labelling the observations by a minimum-distance clustering procedure. [This is one iteration of ISODATA (Ball and Hall, 1967). One could iterate further at this stage.] From this clustering initial estimates of transition probabilities and the variance are obtained.

2.2.3. Restrictions on the transitions

As mentioned above, one might wish to place restrictions on the transitions, e.g., to allow transitions only to adjacent states. (E.g., "recovery" is adjacent to "recession", "expansion" is adjacent to "recovery," but "expansion" is not adjacent to "recession.") The model does permit restrictions on the transitions. The maximization is conducted, subject to the condition that the corresponding transition probabilities are zero. This is easily implemented in the algorithm. Once one sets a given transition probability at zero, the algorithm will fit no such transitions, and the corresponding transition probability will remain set at zero at every iteration.

2.3. An example

Here, in the context of a specific numerical example, the problem of fitting the model for a fixed k and the problem of choice of k will be illustrated. (The additional problem of prediction is considered in Sclove 1981.)

Quarterly gross national product (GNP) in current (non-constant) dollars for the twenty years 1947 to 1966 was considered. (This makes a good size dataset for expository purposes here.) Parameters were estimated from the first 19 years, the last four observations (1966) being saved to test the accuracy of predictions. (See Sclove 1981.) The data and first difference are given in Table 1. The raw series is nonstationary, so the first differences (increases in quarterly GNP) were analyzed. (Study of more recent data suggested use of first differences of logs; this will be discussed in another context in a later report.) The notation is

$$x_t = \text{GNP}_{t+1} - \text{GNP}_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, 79;$$

e.g., GNP_1 is the GNP at the end of the quarter 1947-1, GNP_2 is that at the end of 1947-2, and $x_1 = \text{GNP}_2 - \text{GNP}_1$ is the increase in GNP during the second quarter of 1947. (A negative value of an x indicates a decrease in GNP for the corresponding quarter.) A Gaussian model was used.

2.3.1. Fitting the model

In this section we discuss the fitting of a model with $k=3$ classes, discussion of the choice of k being deferred to the next section. The three classes may be considered as corresponding to recession, recovery, and expansion, although some may prefer to think of the segments labeled as recovery as level periods corresponding to peaks and troughs.

The approximate maximum likelihood solution found by the iterative procedure was (units are billions of current (non-constant) dollars) -1.3, 6.2, and 12.3 for the means, 2.28 for the standard deviation, and

.625	.250	.125
.156	.625	.219
.039	.269	.692

for the transition probabilities. The estimated labels are given below; labels (r = recession, e = expansion) resulting from fitting k=2 classes (see Section 2.3.2) are also given.

t:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23			
label, k=3:	2	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	1	2	3			
label, k=2:	r	r	e	e	e	e	r	r	r	r	r	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	r	r	e	e			
24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50
	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	3	3	3	3	1
	e	r	r	r	r	r	e	e	e	e	e	r	r	r	e	e	r	e	r	r	e	e	e	e	e	r
51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75		
	2	3	2	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	
	e	e	r	r	r	r	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	e

The process was in state 1 for 21% of the time, in state 2 for 44% of the time, and in state 3 for 35% of the time.

An interesting feature of the model and the algorithm is that, as the iterations proceed, some isolated labels change to conform to their neighbors. This should be the case when p_{cc} is large relative to p_{cd} , for d different from c.

2.3.2. Choice of number of classes

Various values of k were tried, the results being scored by means of Akaike's information criterion (AIC). (See, e.g., Akaike 1981.) To estimate k one uses the minimum AIC estimate, where

$$AIC(k) = -2\log_e[\max L(k)] + 2m(k).$$

Here $L(k)$ is the likelihood when k classes are used, \max denotes its maximum over the parameters, and $m(k)$ is the number of independent parameters when k classes are used. The statistic $AIC(k)$ is a natural estimate of the "cross-entropy" between f and $g(k)$, where f is the (unknown) true density and $g(k)$ is the density corresponding to the model with k classes. (See, e.g., the paper by Parzen in the proceedings of this Workshop for a discussion of the cross-entropy, $H(f;g)$.) According to AIC, inclusion of an additional parameter is appropriate if $\log_e[\max L]$ increases by one unit or more, i.e., if $\max L$ increases by a factor of e or more.

The model was fit with several values of k and unrestricted transition probabilities. Also, since it seems reasonable to restrict the transitions to those between adjacent states, such models were evaluated as well. In the case of $k=3$, where the states might be considered as recession, recovery, and expansion, this means setting equal to zero the transition probabilities corresponding to the transitions, recession-to-expansion and expansion-to-recession. The results are given in Table 2. The best segmentation model, as indicated by minimum AIC, is that with only two classes.

The results for $k=2$ classes (which might be called recession, expansion) were 0.43 and 10.09 for the means, 3.306 for the standard deviation, and

.667	.333
.170	.830

for the transition probabilities. The process was in state 1 for 37% of the time and state 2 the other 63% of the time. The labels were given above.

A model with only two classes enjoys advantages relating to its relative simplicity.

3. A Model and Method for Segmentation of Digital Images

A digital (i.e., numerical) image may be considered as a rectangular array of picture elements (pixels). These will be indexed by (i,j) . At each pixel the same p features are observed. We denote the features by

$$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p.$$

The vector of features is

$$\underline{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p).$$

The digital image is

$$\{\underline{X}_{ij}, i=1,2,\dots,I, j=1,2,\dots,J\},$$

where

$$\underline{X}_{ij} = (X_{1ij}, X_{2ij}, \dots, X_{pij})$$

is the vector of numerical values of the p features at pixel (i,j) .

Examples. (i) In television, we have $p = 3$ colors,

$$x_{1ij} = \text{red level at pixel } (i,j),$$

$$x_{2ij} = \text{green level at pixel } (i,j),$$

and

$$x_{3ij} = \text{blue level at pixel } (i,j).$$

(ii) In LANDSAT data, $p = 4$ spectral channels, one in the green/ yellow visible range, the second in the red visible range, and the other two in the near infrared range.

An object is a set of contiguous pixels which may be assumed to be members of a common class. One task of image processing is segmentation, grouping of pixels with a view toward identifying objects.

In this context the conceptual model is that the image is a set of pixels, and, also, the image consists of several segments. Each pixel belongs to one and only one segment. The segments fall into several classes. For example, in a picture of a house the classes might be brick, sky, grass, shadow and brush. Note that there might be several separate areas of, say, grass. Each of these areas is a segment,

but they all belong to the class, "grass."

The statistical model accompanying this conceptual model is as follows:

- with each class of segment is associated a probability distribution for the feature vector \underline{X} ;
- with each pixel is associated a label which, were it known to us, would tell us which class of segment the pixel belongs to.

Each pixel thus gives rise to a pair $(\underline{X}, \tilde{x})$, where \underline{X} is observable and \tilde{x} is not. This is the same as the time-series segmentation model. In the context of this statistical model segmentation is estimation of the set of labels.

Often one considers parametric models, in which the class-conditional probability functions $f_c(.)$ are assumed known, except for the values of distributional parameters. That is,

$$f_c(.) = f(.; \beta_c),$$

where β_c is the parameter. E.g., in the multivariate Gaussian case β_c consists of the mean and covariance matrix for class c .

In order to model the spatial correlation of images, one can assume that the labels Γ_{ij} form a stochastic process, say a Markov process. One reads through the array in a fixed order, say, first row, left to right, second row, left to right, and conditions a given pixel on pixels preceding it in this ordering. Here a first-order Markov process would be one where a given pixel is conditioned on the pixels to the north and west of it. Thus the transition probability matrix has the following form for $k=3$ classes of segment.

Pixel to		Center pixel		
north	west	1	2	3
1	1	$P_{11,1}$	$P_{11,2}$	$P_{11,3}$
1	2	$P_{12,1}$	$P_{12,2}$	$P_{12,3}$
1	3	$P_{13,1}$	$P_{13,2}$	$P_{13,3}$
2	1	$P_{21,1}$	$P_{21,2}$	$P_{21,3}$
2	2	$P_{22,1}$	$P_{22,2}$	$P_{22,3}$
2	3	$P_{23,1}$	$P_{23,2}$	$P_{23,3}$
3	1	$P_{31,1}$	$P_{31,2}$	$P_{31,3}$
3	2	$P_{32,1}$	$P_{32,2}$	$P_{32,3}$
3	3	$P_{33,1}$	$P_{33,2}$	$P_{33,3}$

The total number of parameters, distributional parameters and transition probabilities, is large. But, as mentioned by Jerome Friedman in this Workshop, with very large datasets one ought not necessarily shy away from using models with many parameters.

The algorithm developed for segmenting images according to this model is similar to that for segmenting time series, except now the transition-probability matrix is more complicated.

As a sample "image" the Fisher iris data were used. This dataset consists of 4 features measured on 150 flowers, 50 in each of three species. To form an image the 150 flowers were arranged into a 15 x 10 rectangular array, rows 1-5 being species 1, rows 6-10 being species 2, rows 11-15 being species 3. This means that the true segmentation is as follows.

TRUE SEGMENTATION:

ROW:	COLUMN:									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
6	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
7	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
8	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
9	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
11	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
12	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
13	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
14	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
15	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

Below are given results obtained by starting with initial means equal to the measurements on flowers 50, 100 and 150. (These are easy for the algorithm in the sense that they are in fact from the three different species, but not so easy as, e.g., flowers 1,51 and 101, which are further apart. Starting with means that are from correct classes is analogous to military applications where something is known about the physical characteristics of target, background, and clutter.) The results in successive iterations were as follows. Convergence was reached on the fourth iteration, i.e., on that iteration no pixel changed class.

SEGMENTATION ON ITERATION 1:

ROW:	COLUMN:									
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
6	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2
7	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
8	3	2	3	2	2	2	3	3	2	2
9	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	2	2	2
10	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
11	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3
12	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
13	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
14	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
15	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

CONFUSION MATRIX:

		True Class			
		1	2	3	

Label	1	50	0	0	50
	2	0	36	1	37
	3	0	14	49	63

		50	50	50	150

SEGMENTATION ON ITERATION 2:

ROW:	COLUMN:									
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
6	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2
7	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
8	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2
9	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
11	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3
12	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
13	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
14	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
15	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

CONFUSION MATRIX:

		True Class			
		1	2	3	

Label	1	50	0	0	50
	2	0	42	1	43
	3	0	8	49	57

		50	50	50	150

SEGMENTATION ON ITERATION 3:

ROW:	COLUMN:									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
6	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3
7	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
8	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
9	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
11	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
12	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
13	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
14	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
15	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3

		True Class			
		1	2	3	
Label	1	50	0	0	50
	2	0	44	0	44
	3	0	6	50	56
		50	50	50	150

All computations reported here were carried out using FORTRAN computer programs written by the author. These programs have been sent to the Statistics Program at ONR for deposit in NRL.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-80-C-0408, Task NR042-443, and Army Research Office Contract DAAG29-82-K-0155, at the University of Illinois at Chicago Circle.

References

- Akaike, Hirotugu (1981). "Likelihood of a Model and Information Criteria." Journal of Econometrics 16, 1-14.
- Ball, Geoffrey H., and Hall, David J. (1967). "A Clustering Technique for Summarizing Multivariate Data." Behavioral Science 12, 153-155.
- Nelson, Charles R. (1973). Applied Time Series Analysis for Managerial Forecasting. Holden-Day, Inc., San Francisco.
- Sclove, Stanley L. (1981). "On Segmentation of Time Series." Technical Report No. 81-1, ONR Contract N00014-80-C-0408, Task NR042-443, Math. Dept., University of Illinois at Chicago Circle (submitted for publication)

TABLE 1. GNP. Units: billions of current (non-constant) dollars
(from Nelson (1973), pp. 100-101)

	Quarter							
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
1947-48 GNP	224	228	232	242	248	256	263	264
change	4.0	4.2	10.3	5.9	7.6	6.9	1.4	-5.4
1949-50 GNP	259	255	257	255	266	27	293	305
change	-3.3	1.9	-2.1	11.0	9.4	17.7	11.4	13.5
1951-52 GNP	318	326	333	337	340	339	346	358
change	7.8	7.0	4.1	2.6	-0.4	6.5	12.1	6.5
1953-54 GNP	364	368	366	361	361	360	365	373
change	3.3	-1.7	-5.0	-0.1	-0.3	4.3	8.7	12.8
1955-56 GNP	386	394	403	409	411	416	421	430
change	8.2	8.1	6.3	1.8	5.6	4.4	8.9	7.4
1957-58 GNP	437	440	446	442	435	438	451	464
change	3.0	6.4	-4.8	-6.8	3.6	13.1	13.0	9.6
1959-60 GNP	474	487	484	491	503	505	504	503
change	12.9	-2.9	6.5	12.5	1.7	-0.5	-0.9	0.3
1961-62 GNP	504	515	524	538	548	557	564	572
change	11.3	9.3	13.5	10.1	9.4	7.2	7.6	5.4
1963-64 GNP	577	584	595	606	618	628	639	645
change	6.8	10.5	11.1	11.9	10.3	10.9	6.2	17.7
1965-66 GNP	663	676	691	710	730	743	756	771
change	12.9	15.4	18.9	19.5	13.8	12.6	14.8	13.5

TABLE 2. Fitting models

Model	AIC
Segmentation, 2 classes	481.4 ^a
Segmentation, 3 classes, full trans. prob. matrix	483.6
Segmentation, 3 classes, sparse trans. prob. matrix ^b	488.5 ⁻
Segmentation, 4 classes, full trans. prob. matrix	507.1
Segmentation, 4 classes, sparse trans. prob. matrix ^b	486.8
Segmentation, 5 classes, full trans. prob. matrix	506.5 ⁺
Segmentation, 5 classes, sparse trans. prob. matrix	stopped ^c
Segmentation, 6 classes, full trans. prob. matrix	stopped ^c

a. Optimum, among segmentation models considered.

b. Allows transitions only to adjacent states.

c. Stopped, i.e., the algorithm reached an iteration where it allocated no observations to one of the classes.

TECHNICAL REPORTS

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH CONTRACT N00014-80-C-0408, TASK NR042-443

with the University of Illinois at Chicago Circle

Development of Procedures and Algorithms for
Pattern Recognition and Image Processing
based on Two-Dimensional Markov Models

Principal Investigator: Stanley L. Sclove

- No. 80-1. Stanley L. Sclove. "Application of the Conditional Population-Mixture Model to Image Segmentation." August 15, 1980
- No. 80-2. Stanley L. Sclove. "Modeling the Distribution of Fingerprint Characteristics." September 19, 1980
- No. 81-1. Stanley L. Sclove. "On Segmentation of Time Series." November 30, 1981
- No. 82-1. Hamparsum Bozdogan and Stanley L. Sclove. "Multi-Sample Cluster Analysis using Akaike's Information Criterion." January 30, 1982
- No. 82-2. Hamparsum Bozdogan and Stanley L. Sclove. "Multi-Sample Cluster Analysis with Varying Parameters using Akaike's Information Criterion." March 8, 1982
- No. 82-3. Stanley L. Sclove. "Some Aspects of Inference for Multivariate Infinitely Divisible Distributions." June 15, 1982
- No. 82-4. Stanley L. Sclove. "On Segmentation of Time Series and Images in the Signal Detection and Remote Sensing Contexts." August 1, 1982

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report 82-4	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. H118341	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) On Segmentation of Time Series and Images in the Signal Detection and Remote Sensing Contexts		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Stanley L. Sclove Department of Mathematics University of Illinois at Chicago Circle Box 4348, Chicago, IL 60680		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-80-C-0408
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Mathematics University of Illinois at Chicago Circle Box 4348, Chicago, IL 60680		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE August 1, 1982
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 17 + 11
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Office of Naval Research Statistics and Probability Branch Arlington, VA 22217		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		16. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.		
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) Unlimited distribution		
19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
20. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Signal analysis; cluster analysis; classification; isodata procedure; Markov chains; maximum likelihood; Akaike's information criterion (AIC); image segmentation		
21. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The problem of partitioning a time-series into segments is considered. The segments fall into classes, which may correspond to phases of a cycle (recession, recovery, expansion in the business cycle) or to portions of a signal obtained by scanning (background/clutter, target, background/ clutter again, another target, etc.); or normal tissue, tumor, normal tissue in medical applications. A probability distribution is associated		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 9182-LA 914-6481

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

(Abstract, continued)

with each class of segment. Parametric families of distributions are considered, a set of parameter values being associated with each class. With each observation is associated an unobservable label, indicating from which class the observation arose. The label process is modeled as a Markov chain. Segmentation algorithms are obtained by applying a method of iterated maximum likelihood to the resulting likelihood function. In this paper special attention is given to the situation in which the observations are conditionally independent, given the labels. A numerical example is given. Choice of the number of classes, using Akaike's information criterion (AIC) for model identification, is illustrated.

Similar ideas are applied to the problem of segmenting digital images, where possible applications include SEASAT (and LANDSAT) multi-spectral images. A numerical example is given.

1 4 2:00 2:14 2:00

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)